Spontaneous Scalarization of Black Holes in Gauss-Bonnet Teleparallel Gravity

Sebastián Bahamonde

JSPS Postdoctoral Researcher at Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan

Canadian Quantum Research Center; arXiv:2212.07653 and our review Rept. Prog. Phys. **86** (2023) no.2, 026901



Introduction to Teleparallel theories of gravity

- Basic mathematical ingredients
- Trinity of gravity

2) Black hole hair and Riemannian extensions of GR

- No-hair theorem and a scalar field theory non-minimally coupled to the Ricci scalar
- Scalar-Gauss Bonnet gravity

Teleparallel scalar Gauss-Bonnet gravity
Scalar field non-minimally coupled to Torsion
Teleparallel scalar Gauss-Bonnet

• In the most general metric-affine setting, the fundamental variables are a **metric** $g_{\mu\nu}$ (10 comp.) as well as the coefficients $\hat{\Gamma}^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}$ (64 comp.) of an **affine connection**.

- In the most general metric-affine setting, the fundamental variables are a **metric** $g_{\mu\nu}$ (10 comp.) as well as the coefficients $\hat{\Gamma}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu}$ (64 comp.) of an **affine connection**.
- The most general connection can be written as

- In the most general metric-affine setting, the fundamental variables are a **metric** $g_{\mu\nu}$ (10 comp.) as well as the coefficients $\hat{\Gamma}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu}$ (64 comp.) of an **affine connection**.
- The most general connection can be written as



- In the most general metric-affine setting, the fundamental variables are a **metric** $g_{\mu\nu}$ (10 comp.) as well as the coefficients $\hat{\Gamma}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu}$ (64 comp.) of an **affine connection**.
- The most general connection can be written as



- In the most general metric-affine setting, the fundamental variables are a **metric** $g_{\mu\nu}$ (10 comp.) as well as the coefficients $\hat{\Gamma}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu}$ (64 comp.) of an **affine connection**.
- The most general connection can be written as



- In the most general metric-affine setting, the fundamental variables are a **metric** $g_{\mu\nu}$ (10 comp.) as well as the coefficients $\hat{\Gamma}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu}$ (64 comp.) of an **affine connection**.
- The most general connection can be written as



- In the most general metric-affine setting, the fundamental variables are a **metric** $g_{\mu\nu}$ (10 comp.) as well as the coefficients $\hat{\Gamma}^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}$ (64 comp.) of an **affine connection**.
- The most general connection can be written as



Notation: μ, ν, α, ..: space-time; a, b, c, ..: tangent space.
 Γ: Levi-Civita, Γ: Teleparallel connection; Γ: General connection.

- Notation: μ, ν, α, ..: space-time; a, b, c, ..: tangent space.
 Γ: Levi-Civita, Γ: Teleparallel connection; Γ: General connection.
- **Tetrads** (or vierbein) $e^a{}_{\mu}$ are linear basis on the spacetime manifold, and at each point of the spacetime, tetrads gives us basis for vectors on the tangent space.

- Notation: μ, ν, α, ..: space-time; a, b, c, ..: tangent space.
 Γ: Levi-Civita, Γ: Teleparallel connection: Γ: General connection.
- **Tetrads** (or vierbein) $e^a{}_{\mu}$ are linear basis on the spacetime manifold, and at each point of the spacetime, tetrads gives us basis for vectors on the tangent space.
- Tetrads satisfy the **orthogonality condition**; $e_m{}^{\mu}e^n{}_{\mu} = \delta^n_m$ and $e_m{}^{\nu}e^m{}_{\mu} = \delta^{\nu}_{\mu}$ and the metric and its inverse can be reconstructed via

- Notation: μ, ν, α, ..: space-time; a, b, c, ..: tangent space.
 Γ: Levi-Civita, Γ: Teleparallel connection; Γ: General connection.
- **Tetrads** (or vierbein) $e^a{}_{\mu}$ are linear basis on the spacetime manifold, and at each point of the spacetime, tetrads gives us basis for vectors on the tangent space.
- Tetrads satisfy the **orthogonality condition**; $e_m{}^{\mu}e^n{}_{\mu} = \delta^n_m$ and $e_m{}^{\nu}e^m{}_{\mu} = \delta^{\nu}_{\mu}$ and the metric and its inverse can be reconstructed via

Metric and tetrads

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab} e^a{}_{\mu} e^b{}_{\nu} , \quad g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{ab} e_a{}^{\mu} e_b{}^{\nu}$$

- Notation: μ, ν, α, ..: space-time; a, b, c, ..: tangent space.
 Γ: Levi-Civita, Γ: Teleparallel connection; Γ: General connection.
- **Tetrads** (or vierbein) $e^a{}_{\mu}$ are linear basis on the spacetime manifold, and at each point of the spacetime, tetrads gives us basis for vectors on the tangent space.
- Tetrads satisfy the **orthogonality condition**; $e_m{}^{\mu}e^n{}_{\mu} = \delta^n_m$ and $e_m{}^{\nu}e^m{}_{\mu} = \delta^{\nu}_{\mu}$ and the metric and its inverse can be reconstructed via

Metric and tetrads

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab} e^a{}_{\mu} e^b{}_{\nu} , \quad g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{ab} e_a{}^{\mu} e_b{}^{\nu}$$

- Notation: μ, ν, α, ..: space-time; a, b, c, ..: tangent space.
 Γ: Levi-Civita, Γ: Teleparallel connection; Γ: General connection.
- **Tetrads** (or vierbein) $e^a{}_{\mu}$ are linear basis on the spacetime manifold, and at each point of the spacetime, tetrads gives us basis for vectors on the tangent space.
- Tetrads satisfy the **orthogonality condition**; $e_m{}^{\mu}e^n{}_{\mu} = \delta^n_m$ and $e_m{}^{\nu}e^m{}_{\mu} = \delta^{\nu}_{\mu}$ and the metric and its inverse can be reconstructed via

Metric and tetrads

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab} e^a{}_{\mu} e^b{}_{\nu} , \quad g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{ab} e_a{}^{\mu} e_b{}^{\nu}$$

where η_{ab} is the Minkowski metric.

 Quantities denoted with a circle on top
 o denote that they are defined with respect to the Levi-Civita connection and hats are general affine connection.

• The curvature becomes

$$\tilde{R}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} = \mathring{R}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} + \mathring{\nabla}_{\rho}\tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\sigma}\tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho} + \tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\tau\rho}\tilde{D}^{\tau}{}_{\nu\sigma} - \tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\tau\sigma}\tilde{D}^{\tau}{}_{\nu\rho}.$$

The curvature becomes

$$\tilde{R}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} = \mathring{R}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} + \mathring{\nabla}_{\rho}\tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\sigma}\tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho} + \tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\tau\rho}\tilde{D}^{\tau}{}_{\nu\sigma} - \tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\tau\sigma}\tilde{D}^{\tau}{}_{\nu\rho}.$$

• Now, by contracting the curvature tensor to obtain the Ricci scalar $\tilde{R} = g^{\mu\nu}\tilde{R}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\rho\nu}$ we find

The curvature becomes

$$\tilde{R}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} = \mathring{R}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} + \mathring{\nabla}_{\rho}\tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\sigma}\tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho} + \tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\tau\rho}\tilde{D}^{\tau}{}_{\nu\sigma} - \tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\tau\sigma}\tilde{D}^{\tau}{}_{\nu\rho}.$$

• Now, by contracting the curvature tensor to obtain the Ricci scalar $\tilde{R} = g^{\mu\nu}\tilde{R}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\rho\nu}$ we find

Ricci scalar decomposition $\tilde{R} = \mathring{R} + \left(T + 2\mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g}T^{\rho}{}_{\rho}{}^{\mu})\right) + \left(Q + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}Q^{\mu\nu}{}_{\nu} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu}Q_{\mu}{}^{\mu\nu}\right) + C$

The curvature becomes

$$\tilde{R}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} = \mathring{R}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} + \mathring{\nabla}_{\rho}\tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\sigma}\tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho} + \tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\tau\rho}\tilde{D}^{\tau}{}_{\nu\sigma} - \tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\tau\sigma}\tilde{D}^{\tau}{}_{\nu\rho}.$$

• Now, by contracting the curvature tensor to obtain the Ricci scalar $\tilde{R} = g^{\mu\nu}\tilde{R}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\rho\nu}$ we find

Ricci scalar decomposition $\tilde{R} = \mathring{R} + \left(T + 2\mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g}T^{\rho}{}_{\rho}{}^{\mu})\right) + \left(Q + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}Q^{\mu\nu}{}_{\nu} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu}Q_{\mu}{}^{\mu\nu}\right) + C$

The curvature becomes

$$\tilde{R}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} = \mathring{R}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} + \mathring{\nabla}_{\rho}\tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\sigma}\tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho} + \tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\tau\rho}\tilde{D}^{\tau}{}_{\nu\sigma} - \tilde{D}^{\mu}{}_{\tau\sigma}\tilde{D}^{\tau}{}_{\nu\rho}.$$

• Now, by contracting the curvature tensor to obtain the Ricci scalar $\tilde{R} = g^{\mu\nu}\tilde{R}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\rho\nu}$ we find

Ricci scalar decomposition

$$\tilde{R} = \mathring{R} + \left(T + 2\mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g}T^{\rho}{}_{\rho}{}^{\mu})\right) + \left(Q + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}Q^{\mu\nu}{}_{\nu} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu}Q_{\mu}{}^{\mu\nu}\right) + C$$

with

$$\begin{split} T &:= T^{\rho\lambda\kappa}T_{\rho\lambda\kappa} + 2T^{\rho\lambda\kappa}T_{\kappa\rho\lambda} - 4T_{\rho}^{\kappa}{}_{\kappa}T^{\rho\lambda}{}_{\lambda}, \quad \text{Torsion scalar}, \\ Q &:= -\frac{1}{4}\,Q_{\alpha\beta\gamma}Q^{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\,Q_{\alpha\beta\gamma}Q^{\beta\alpha\gamma} + \frac{1}{4}\,Q_{\alpha}Q^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\,Q_{\alpha}\bar{Q}^{\alpha}, \text{ Nonmetricity scalar}, \\ C &:= 2(Q_{\kappa\rho\lambda}T^{\lambda\kappa\rho} + Q_{\rho}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\sigma}T^{\rho\kappa}{}_{\kappa} - Q^{\sigma}{}_{\sigma\rho}T^{\rho\kappa}{}_{\kappa}). \end{split}$$

• GR assumes zero torsion and nonmetricity so that

• GR assumes zero torsion and nonmetricity so that

Ricci scalar GR

$$\tilde{R} = \mathring{R} + \left(T - 2\mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g}T^{\rho}{}_{\rho}{}^{\mu})\right) + \left(Q + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}Q^{\mu\nu}{}_{\nu} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu}Q_{\mu}{}^{\mu\nu}\right) + \mathscr{L} = \mathring{R}.$$

• GR assumes zero torsion and nonmetricity so that

Ricci scalar GR

$$\tilde{R} = \mathring{R} + \left(T - 2\mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g}T^{\rho}{}_{\rho}{}^{\mu})\right) + \left(Q + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}Q^{\mu\nu}{}_{\nu} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu}Q_{\mu}{}^{\mu\nu}\right) + \mathscr{L} = \mathring{R}.$$

• Then, GR is constructed from the Ricci scalar

• GR assumes zero torsion and nonmetricity so that

Ricci scalar GR

$$\tilde{R} = \mathring{R} + \left(T - 2 \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu} (\sqrt{-g} T^{\rho}{}_{\rho}{}^{\mu}) \right) + \left(Q + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu} Q^{\mu\nu}{}_{\nu} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu} Q_{\mu}{}^{\mu\nu} \right) + \mathscr{L} = \mathring{R}.$$

Then, GR is constructed from the Ricci scalar

Einstein-Hilbert action

$$S_{\rm GR} = \int \left[\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \mathring{R} + L_{\rm m}\right] \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x \,.$$

• GR assumes zero torsion and nonmetricity so that

Ricci scalar GR

$$\tilde{R} = \mathring{R} + \left(T - 2 \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu} (\sqrt{-g} T^{\rho}{}_{\rho}{}^{\mu}) \right) + \left(Q + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu} Q^{\mu\nu}{}_{\nu} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu} Q_{\mu}{}^{\mu\nu} \right) + \mathscr{L} = \mathring{R}.$$

Then, GR is constructed from the Ricci scalar

Einstein-Hilbert action

$$S_{\rm GR} = \int \left[\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \mathring{R} + L_{\rm m}\right] \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x \,.$$

• GR assumes zero torsion and nonmetricity so that

Ricci scalar GR

$$\tilde{R} = \mathring{R} + \left(T - 2 \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu} (\sqrt{-g} T^{\rho}{}_{\rho}{}^{\mu}) \right) + \left(Q + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu} Q^{\mu\nu}{}_{\nu} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu} Q_{\mu}{}^{\mu\nu} \right) + \mathscr{L} = \mathring{R}.$$

Then, GR is constructed from the Ricci scalar

Einstein-Hilbert action

$$S_{\rm GR} = \int \left[\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \mathring{R} + L_{\rm m}\right] \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x \, .$$

where $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$ and $L_{\rm m}$ is any matter Lagrangian.

• The Einstein's field equations are obtained by taking variations w/r to the metric: $\begin{vmatrix} \mathring{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\mathring{R} = \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu} \end{vmatrix}$.

 Teleparallel equivalent of GR (TEGR) assumes zero curvature and zero nonmetricity so that

 Teleparallel equivalent of GR (TEGR) assumes zero curvature and zero nonmetricity so that

Ricci scalar TEGR

$$\begin{split} R &= 0 = \mathring{R} + \left(T + 2\mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g}T^{\rho}{}_{\rho}{}^{\mu})\right) + \underbrace{\left(Q + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}Q^{\mu\nu}{}_{\nu} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu}Q_{\mu}{}^{\mu\nu}\right)}_{\Leftrightarrow} + \mathscr{L}, \\ \Longleftrightarrow \mathring{R} &= -T + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g}T^{\rho}{}_{\rho}{}^{\mu}) := -T + B. \end{split}$$

 Teleparallel equivalent of GR (TEGR) assumes zero curvature and zero nonmetricity so that

Ricci scalar TEGR

$$R = 0 = \mathring{R} + \left(T + 2\mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g}T^{\rho}{}_{\rho}{}^{\mu})\right) + \left(Q + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}Q^{\mu\nu}{}_{\nu} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu}Q_{\mu}{}^{\mu\nu}\right) + \mathscr{C},$$

$$\iff \mathring{R} = -T + \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu}(\sqrt{-g}T^{\rho}{}_{\rho}{}^{\mu}) := -T + B.$$

• Then, TEGR is constructed from the torsion scalar T

 Teleparallel equivalent of GR (TEGR) assumes zero curvature and zero nonmetricity so that

Ricci scalar TEGR

$$\begin{split} R &= 0 = \mathring{R} + \left(T + 2\mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g}T^{\rho}{}_{\rho}{}^{\mu})\right) + \left(Q + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}Q^{\mu\nu}{}_{\nu} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu}Q_{\mu}{}^{\mu\nu}\right) + \mathscr{C}, \\ \Longleftrightarrow \mathring{R} &= -T + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g}T^{\rho}{}_{\rho}{}^{\mu}) := -T + B. \end{split}$$

• Then, TEGR is constructed from the torsion scalar T

(torsional) Teleparallel equivalent of GR (TEGR) action

$$S_{\text{TEGR}} = \int \left[-\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} T + L_{\text{m}} \right] e \, d^4 x \,.$$

 Teleparallel equivalent of GR (TEGR) assumes zero curvature and zero nonmetricity so that

Ricci scalar TEGR

$$\begin{split} R &= 0 = \mathring{R} + \left(T + 2\mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g}T^{\rho}{}_{\rho}{}^{\mu})\right) + \underbrace{\left(Q + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}Q^{\mu\nu}{}_{\nu} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu}Q_{\mu}{}^{\mu\nu}\right)}_{& \Leftarrow \mathring{R} = -T + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g}T^{\rho}{}_{\rho}{}^{\mu}) := -T + B. \end{split}$$

• Then, TEGR is constructed from the torsion scalar T

(torsional) Teleparallel equivalent of GR (TEGR) action

$$S_{\text{TEGR}} = \int \left[-\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} T + L_{\text{m}} \right] e \, d^4 x \,.$$

• Since \mathring{R} differs by T by a boundary term B, the equations of TEGR are equivalent to the Einstein's field equations.

Ricci scalar STEGR

$$R = 0 = \mathring{R} + \underbrace{\left(T + 2\mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}\left(\sqrt{-g}T^{\rho}_{\rho}^{\mu}\right)\right)}_{\mu} + \left(Q + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}Q^{\mu\nu}_{\nu} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu}Q_{\mu}^{\mu\nu}\right) + \mathscr{C},$$

$$\iff \mathring{R} = -Q - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu}Q_{\mu}^{\mu\nu} + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}Q^{\mu\nu}_{\nu} := -Q + B_Q.$$

Ricci scalar STEGR

$$R = 0 = \mathring{R} + \underbrace{\left(T + 2\mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}\left(\sqrt{-g}T^{\rho}_{\rho}^{\mu}\right)\right)}_{\mu} + \left(Q + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}Q^{\mu\nu}_{\nu} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu}Q_{\mu}^{\mu\nu}\right) + \mathscr{L},$$

$$\iff \mathring{R} = -Q - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu}Q_{\mu}^{\mu\nu} + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}Q^{\mu\nu}_{\nu} := -Q + B_Q.$$

Then, STEGR is constructed from the nonmetricity scalar Q

Ricci scalar STEGR

$$R = 0 = \mathring{R} + \underbrace{\left(T + 2\mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}\left(\sqrt{-g}T^{\rho}_{\rho}^{\mu}\right)\right)}_{\mu} + \left(Q + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}Q^{\mu\nu}_{\nu} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu}Q_{\mu}^{\mu\nu}\right) + \mathscr{L},$$

$$\iff \mathring{R} = -Q - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu}Q_{\mu}^{\mu\nu} + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}Q^{\mu\nu}_{\nu} := -Q + B_Q.$$

Then, STEGR is constructed from the nonmetricity scalar Q

(non-metricity)Symmetric Teleparallel equivalent of GR (STEGR) action

$$S_{\text{STEGR}} = \int \left[-\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} Q + L_{\text{m}} \right] \sqrt{-g} \, d^4 x \, .$$

Ricci scalar STEGR

$$R = 0 = \mathring{R} + \underbrace{\left(T + 2\mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}\left(\sqrt{-g}T^{\rho}_{\rho}^{\mu}\right)\right)}_{\mu} + \left(Q + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}Q^{\mu\nu}_{\nu} - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu}Q_{\mu}^{\mu\nu}\right) + \mathscr{L},$$

$$\Longrightarrow \mathring{R} = -Q - \mathring{\nabla}_{\nu}Q_{\mu}^{\mu\nu} + \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}Q^{\mu\nu}_{\nu} := -Q + B_Q.$$

Then, STEGR is constructed from the nonmetricity scalar Q

(non-metricity)Symmetric Teleparallel equivalent of GR (STEGR) action

$$S_{\rm STEGR} = \int \left[-\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} Q + L_{\rm m} \right] \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x \, .$$

 Since R differs by Q by a boundary term BQ, the equations of STEGR are equivalent to the GR eqs.


Figure: Geometrical trinity of gravity (S. Bahamonde et.al., "Teleparallel Gravity: From Theory of Cosmology," Rept. Prog. Phys. **86** (2023) no.2, 026901.; J. Beltrán Jiménez, L. Heisenberg and T. S. Koivisto, "The Geometrical Trinity of Gravity," Universe **5** (2019) no.7, 173.)

Overview of the Talk

Introduction to Teleparallel theories of gravity

- Basic mathematical ingredients
- Trinity of gravity

Black hole hair and Riemannian extensions of GR

- No-hair theorem and a scalar field theory non-minimally coupled to the Ricci scalar
- Scalar-Gauss Bonnet gravity

Teleparallel scalar Gauss-Bonnet gravity

- Scalar field non-minimally coupled to Torsion
- Teleparallel scalar Gauss-Bonnet

• In General Relativity, there is theorem called "No-hair theorem" which states that black holes do not have hair.

- In General Relativity, there is theorem called "No-hair theorem" which states that black holes do not have hair.
- That means that black holes are described only by three parameters: its mass *M*, angular momentum *J* (if it is rotating) and a charge *Q* (which is though that it is almost zero for a astrophysical BH).

- In General Relativity, there is theorem called "No-hair theorem" which states that black holes do not have hair.
- That means that black holes are described only by three parameters: its mass *M*, angular momentum *J* (if it is rotating) and a charge *Q* (which is though that it is almost zero for a astrophysical BH).
- Only with those three parameters, one can predict all effects related to the black hole.

- In General Relativity, there is theorem called "No-hair theorem" which states that black holes do not have hair.
- That means that black holes are described only by three parameters: its mass *M*, angular momentum *J* (if it is rotating) and a charge *Q* (which is though that it is almost zero for a astrophysical BH).
- Only with those three parameters, one can predict all effects related to the black hole.
- The solution of the metric is the so-called Kerr-Newmann metric which describes an axially rotating black hole solution with a charge.

- In General Relativity, there is theorem called "No-hair theorem" which states that black holes do not have hair.
- That means that black holes are described only by three parameters: its mass *M*, angular momentum *J* (if it is rotating) and a charge *Q* (which is though that it is almost zero for a astrophysical BH).
- Only with those three parameters, one can predict all effects related to the black hole.
- The solution of the metric is the so-called Kerr-Newmann metric which describes an axially rotating black hole solution with a charge.
- This is a theorem, meaning that in GR, this is the unique asymptotically flat black hole solution. In spherical symmetry, it is just Schwarzschild.

- In General Relativity, there is theorem called "No-hair theorem" which states that black holes do not have hair.
- That means that black holes are described only by three parameters: its mass *M*, angular momentum *J* (if it is rotating) and a charge *Q* (which is though that it is almost zero for a astrophysical BH).
- Only with those three parameters, one can predict all effects related to the black hole.
- The solution of the metric is the so-called Kerr-Newmann metric which describes an axially rotating black hole solution with a charge.
- This is a theorem, meaning that in GR, this is the unique asymptotically flat black hole solution. In spherical symmetry, it is just Schwarzschild.
- Is it possible that black holes have hair? One needs to go beyond GR, either by having modified gravity or allowing extra degrees of freedom such as scalar fields coupled to gravity.

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M \left[\mathcal{F}(\psi) \mathring{R} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}(\psi) \partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi - 2\kappa^2 \mathcal{V}(\psi) \right] \sqrt{-g} \, \mathrm{d}^4 x \,.$$

• Let us take the following simple extension of GR by allowing couplings between the Ricci scalar and a scalar field with its kinetic term *X* and a potential:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M \left[\mathcal{F}(\psi) \mathring{R} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}(\psi) \partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi - 2\kappa^2 \mathcal{V}(\psi) \right] \sqrt{-g} \, \mathrm{d}^4 x \,.$$

• In the minimal case $\mathcal{F}(\psi) = 1$ with V = 0, only Kerr is a solution. Further, there is a no-hair theorem for $V \propto -\psi^2$ as well.

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M \left[\mathcal{F}(\psi) \mathring{R} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}(\psi) \partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi - 2\kappa^2 \mathcal{V}(\psi) \right] \sqrt{-g} \, \mathrm{d}^4 x \,.$$

- In the minimal case $\mathcal{F}(\psi) = 1$ with V = 0, only Kerr is a solution. Further, there is a no-hair theorem for $V \propto -\psi^2$ as well.
- One can circumvent the no-hair theorem by having some particular potentials and coupling functions. Although those solutions have very unphysical potentials and those scalarized black hole solutions are usually not so much interested astrophysically.

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M \left[\mathcal{F}(\psi) \mathring{R} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}(\psi) \partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi - 2\kappa^2 \mathcal{V}(\psi) \right] \sqrt{-g} \, \mathrm{d}^4 x \,.$$

- In the minimal case $\mathcal{F}(\psi) = 1$ with V = 0, only Kerr is a solution. Further, there is a no-hair theorem for $V \propto -\psi^2$ as well.
- One can circumvent the no-hair theorem by having some particular potentials and coupling functions. Although those solutions have very unphysical potentials and those scalarized black hole solutions are usually not so much interested astrophysically.
- It seems that the simple theory fails to have more general realistic black holes (with hair).

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M \left[\mathcal{F}(\psi) \mathring{R} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}(\psi) \partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi - 2\kappa^2 \mathcal{V}(\psi) \right] \sqrt{-g} \, \mathrm{d}^4 x \,.$$

- In the minimal case $\mathcal{F}(\psi) = 1$ with V = 0, only Kerr is a solution. Further, there is a no-hair theorem for $V \propto -\psi^2$ as well.
- One can circumvent the no-hair theorem by having some particular potentials and coupling functions. Although those solutions have very unphysical potentials and those scalarized black hole solutions are usually not so much interested astrophysically.
- It seems that the simple theory fails to have more general realistic black holes (with hair).
- Is it then not possible to have something realistic beyond Kerr?

 One can then modify the theory by having new terms in the action. New possible curvature invariants can be added and the first ones that one could think are quadratic contractions of curvature.

- One can then modify the theory by having new terms in the action. New possible curvature invariants can be added and the first ones that one could think are quadratic contractions of curvature.
- In Riemannian gravity, there is a topological invariant in 4D (does not contribute to the field equations), which is known as the Gauss-Bonnet invariant defined as

$$\mathring{G} = \mathring{R}_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \mathring{R}^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} - 4\mathring{R}_{\alpha\beta} \mathring{R}^{\alpha\beta} + \mathring{R}^2.$$

- One can then modify the theory by having new terms in the action. New possible curvature invariants can be added and the first ones that one could think are quadratic contractions of curvature.
- In Riemannian gravity, there is a topological invariant in 4D (does not contribute to the field equations), which is known as the Gauss-Bonnet invariant defined as

$$\mathring{G} = \mathring{R}_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \mathring{R}^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} - 4\mathring{R}_{\alpha\beta} \mathring{R}^{\alpha\beta} + \mathring{R}^2.$$

• This means that the theory

$$S_{\rm GR} = \int \left[\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \mathring{R} + \alpha \mathring{G}\right] \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x$$

gives the Einstein's field equations.

- One can then modify the theory by having new terms in the action. New possible curvature invariants can be added and the first ones that one could think are quadratic contractions of curvature.
- In Riemannian gravity, there is a topological invariant in 4D (does not contribute to the field equations), which is known as the Gauss-Bonnet invariant defined as

$$\mathring{G} = \mathring{R}_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \mathring{R}^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} - 4\mathring{R}_{\alpha\beta} \mathring{R}^{\alpha\beta} + \mathring{R}^2.$$

• This means that the theory

$$S_{\rm GR} = \int \left[\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \mathring{R} + \alpha \mathring{G}\right] \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x$$

gives the Einstein's field equations.

• However, if one allows couplings between the Gauss-Bonnet invariant and a scalar field, then the field equations will not be longer equivalent to GR.

 The Scalar Gauss-Bonnet (sGB) gravity theory is described by the following action

$$S_{\rm sGB} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[\mathring{R} - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha \mathcal{G}(\psi) \mathring{G} \right] \sqrt{-g} \,\mathrm{d}^4 x \,.$$

• The Scalar Gauss-Bonnet (sGB) gravity theory is described by the following action

$$\mathcal{S}_{\rm sGB} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[\mathring{R} - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha \mathcal{G}(\psi) \mathring{G} \right] \sqrt{-g} \,\mathrm{d}^4 x \,.$$

If G(ψ) = 1, then we just have GR. Equivalently, if ψ = const, we just have GR.

 The Scalar Gauss-Bonnet (sGB) gravity theory is described by the following action

$$S_{\rm sGB} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[\mathring{R} - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha \mathcal{G}(\psi) \mathring{G} \right] \sqrt{-g} \,\mathrm{d}^4 x \,.$$

- If G(ψ) = 1, then we just have GR. Equivalently, if ψ = const, we just have GR.
- For G(ψ) ≠ const, the field equations are not longer GR. For example the scalar-field equation is

$$\beta \mathring{\Box} \psi + \alpha \dot{\mathcal{G}}(\psi) \mathring{G} = 0, \qquad (2)$$

where $\dot{\mathcal{G}}(\psi) = d\mathcal{G}/d\psi$.

• The Scalar Gauss-Bonnet (sGB) gravity theory is described by the following action

$$S_{\rm sGB} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[\mathring{R} - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha \mathcal{G}(\psi) \mathring{G} \right] \sqrt{-g} \,\mathrm{d}^4 x \,.$$

- If G(ψ) = 1, then we just have GR. Equivalently, if ψ = const, we just have GR.
- For G(ψ) ≠ const, the field equations are not longer GR. For example the scalar-field equation is

$$\beta \mathring{\Box} \psi + \alpha \dot{\mathcal{G}}(\psi) \mathring{G} = 0, \qquad (2)$$

where $\dot{\mathcal{G}}(\psi) = d\mathcal{G}/d\psi$.

• Note: $\mathring{R} = 0$ in Schwarzschild but $\mathring{G} \neq 0$. That property would be important to understand the difference between this model and the former one.

Sebastian Bahamonde (*)

 It has been shown (numerically) that for some particular coupling functions, there are asymptotically flat scalarized black hole solutions where the scalar charge emerges from a mechanism called Spontaneous scalarization.

- It has been shown (numerically) that for some particular coupling functions, there are asymptotically flat scalarized black hole solutions where the scalar charge emerges from a mechanism called Spontaneous scalarization.
- In this process, the predictions of the theory match the predictions of GR in the weak field regime but differ in the strong field regime.

- It has been shown (numerically) that for some particular coupling functions, there are asymptotically flat scalarized black hole solutions where the scalar charge emerges from a mechanism called Spontaneous scalarization.
- In this process, the predictions of the theory match the predictions of GR in the weak field regime but differ in the strong field regime.
- The described black hole coincides with Schwarzschild one for weak gravitational fields when the spacetime curvature near the horizon is too weak to source the scalar field.

- It has been shown (numerically) that for some particular coupling functions, there are asymptotically flat scalarized black hole solutions where the scalar charge emerges from a mechanism called Spontaneous scalarization.
- In this process, the predictions of the theory match the predictions of GR in the weak field regime but differ in the strong field regime.
- The described black hole coincides with Schwarzschild one for weak gravitational fields when the spacetime curvature near the horizon is too weak to source the scalar field.
- For strong gravitational fields at the horizon, that realizes when the black hole mass *M* falls below a certain threshold, the Schwarzschild solution becomes unstable and a non-trivial scalar field emerges.

- It has been shown (numerically) that for some particular coupling functions, there are asymptotically flat scalarized black hole solutions where the scalar charge emerges from a mechanism called Spontaneous scalarization.
- In this process, the predictions of the theory match the predictions of GR in the weak field regime but differ in the strong field regime.
- The described black hole coincides with Schwarzschild one for weak gravitational fields when the spacetime curvature near the horizon is too weak to source the scalar field.
- For strong gravitational fields at the horizon, that realizes when the black hole mass *M* falls below a certain threshold, the Schwarzschild solution becomes unstable and a non-trivial scalar field emerges.
- Thus the solution bifurcates to a different black hole solution with scalar hair.

- It has been shown (numerically) that for some particular coupling functions, there are asymptotically flat scalarized black hole solutions where the scalar charge emerges from a mechanism called Spontaneous scalarization.
- In this process, the predictions of the theory match the predictions of GR in the weak field regime but differ in the strong field regime.
- The described black hole coincides with Schwarzschild one for weak gravitational fields when the spacetime curvature near the horizon is too weak to source the scalar field.
- For strong gravitational fields at the horizon, that realizes when the black hole mass *M* falls below a certain threshold, the Schwarzschild solution becomes unstable and a non-trivial scalar field emerges.
- Thus the solution bifurcates to a different black hole solution with scalar hair.
- This transition is usually smooth in sGB and shares similarities with second order phase transitions.

Sebastian Bahamonde (*)

 The simplest way to study the spontaneous scalarization process is by considering deviations from the Schwarzschild metric by choosing the metric to have the form

$$ds^{2} = e^{\delta(r)}A(r)dt^{2} - \frac{1}{A(r)}dr^{2} - r^{2}d\Omega^{2}, \quad \delta(r) \ll 1, \quad A(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r}$$

Then, one takes perturbations of the scalar field that can be written as

$$\delta\psi(t,r,\theta,\psi) = \frac{u(r)}{r} e^{-i\omega t} Y_{lm}(\theta,\psi),$$

which allows us to decouple the metric field equations from the scalar field equations.

• By plugging those expressions into the scalar field equation $(\beta \Box \psi + \alpha \dot{\mathcal{G}}(\psi) \dot{\mathcal{G}} = 0)$ and after expanding them up to first order, we obtain the following Schrodinger-like form equation

$$\frac{d^2 u}{dr_*^2} + [\omega^2 - U(r)]u = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{G}'(\psi_0) = 0,$$

• By plugging those expressions into the scalar field equation $(\beta \Box \psi + \alpha \dot{\mathcal{G}}(\psi) \dot{\mathcal{G}} = 0)$ and after expanding them up to first order, we obtain the following Schrodinger-like form equation

$$\frac{d^2 u}{dr_*^2} + [\omega^2 - U(r)]u = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{G}'(\psi_0) = 0,$$

• By plugging those expressions into the scalar field equation $(\beta \Box \psi + \alpha \dot{\mathcal{G}}(\psi) \dot{\mathcal{G}} = 0)$ and after expanding them up to first order, we obtain the following Schrodinger-like form equation

$$\frac{d^2 u}{dr_*^2} + [\omega^2 - U(r)]u = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{G}'(\psi_0) = 0,$$

where we have introduced tortoise coordinates $dr_* = (1 - 2M/r)^{-1}dr$ and the Potential U(r) is given by

$$U(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \left[\frac{2M}{r^3} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + \frac{48M^2}{r^6\beta}\alpha\ddot{\mathcal{G}}(\psi_0)\right].$$

• Here ψ_0 is assumed to be the constant Schwarzschild geometry background value of the scalar field.

• A sufficient condition for having an unstable mode is

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} U(r_*) dr_* = \int_{2M}^{\infty} \frac{U(r)}{1 - \frac{2M}{r}} dr < 0.$$

Therefore, the theory gives us the possibility to have such an unstable mode if

$$\frac{3\alpha\ddot{\mathcal{G}}(\psi_0) + 5\beta M^2}{10\beta M^3} < 0\,.$$

• A sufficient condition for having an unstable mode is

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} U(r_*) dr_* = \int_{2M}^{\infty} \frac{U(r)}{1 - \frac{2M}{r}} dr < 0.$$

Therefore, the theory gives us the possibility to have such an unstable mode if

$$\frac{3\alpha\ddot{\mathcal{G}}(\psi_0) + 5\beta M^2}{10\beta M^3} < 0\,.$$

• Then, for weak field regime the scalar charge does not emerge but after passing a certain threshold which is related to strong gravity regime (given by the above inequality), the Schwarzschild solution is unstable and then, the charge emerges and the solution is not longer Schwarzschild.

Black holes in Scalar Gauss-Bonnet

• The above analysis does not guarantee the existence of scalarized black hole solutions since one needs to solve the full system of equations.

Black holes in Scalar Gauss-Bonnet

- The above analysis does not guarantee the existence of scalarized black hole solutions since one needs to solve the full system of equations.
- That analysis has been done in several papers where (only numerically), it has been shown the existence of asymptotically flat scalarized black holes solutions with the spontaneous scalarization mechanism.

Black holes in Scalar Gauss-Bonnet

- The above analysis does not guarantee the existence of scalarized black hole solutions since one needs to solve the full system of equations.
- That analysis has been done in several papers where (only numerically), it has been shown the existence of asymptotically flat scalarized black holes solutions with the spontaneous scalarization mechanism.
- Usually, exponential and power-law couplings give those solutions.
Black holes in Scalar Gauss-Bonnet

- The above analysis does not guarantee the existence of scalarized black hole solutions since one needs to solve the full system of equations.
- That analysis has been done in several papers where (only numerically), it has been shown the existence of asymptotically flat scalarized black holes solutions with the spontaneous scalarization mechanism.
- Usually, exponential and power-law couplings give those solutions.
- It has been proved that those solutions (numerically) are stable against linear perturbations.

Black holes in Scalar Gauss-Bonnet

- The above analysis does not guarantee the existence of scalarized black hole solutions since one needs to solve the full system of equations.
- That analysis has been done in several papers where (only numerically), it has been shown the existence of asymptotically flat scalarized black holes solutions with the spontaneous scalarization mechanism.
- Usually, exponential and power-law couplings give those solutions.
- It has been proved that those solutions (numerically) are stable against linear perturbations.
- The study has been generalized for rotating solutions, charged black holes or even multi-scalar field configurations.

• These solutions are one of the most important ones in modified gravity to describe realistic black hole configurations beyond GR and there are many studies about them.

- These solutions are one of the most important ones in modified gravity to describe realistic black hole configurations beyond GR and there are many studies about them.
- For example, merger of binary of BH or templates of gravitational waves have been generated and analysis in several papers.

- These solutions are one of the most important ones in modified gravity to describe realistic black hole configurations beyond GR and there are many studies about them.
- For example, merger of binary of BH or templates of gravitational waves have been generated and analysis in several papers.
- Still, there are some issues about these solutions. For example, for small BH masses, there is a loss of hyperbolicity in the numerics.

- These solutions are one of the most important ones in modified gravity to describe realistic black hole configurations beyond GR and there are many studies about them.
- For example, merger of binary of BH or templates of gravitational waves have been generated and analysis in several papers.
- Still, there are some issues about these solutions. For example, for small BH masses, there is a loss of hyperbolicity in the numerics.
- This means that still there are many parts unknown about this model.

- These solutions are one of the most important ones in modified gravity to describe realistic black hole configurations beyond GR and there are many studies about them.
- For example, merger of binary of BH or templates of gravitational waves have been generated and analysis in several papers.
- Still, there are some issues about these solutions. For example, for small BH masses, there is a loss of hyperbolicity in the numerics.
- This means that still there are many parts unknown about this model.
- What about non-Riemannian geometry?

Overview of the Talk

Introduction to Teleparallel theories of gravity

- Basic mathematical ingredients
- Trinity of gravity

Black hole hair and Riemannian extensions of GR

- No-hair theorem and a scalar field theory non-minimally coupled to the Ricci scalar
- Scalar-Gauss Bonnet gravity

Teleparallel scalar Gauss-Bonnet gravity

- Scalar field non-minimally coupled to Torsion
- Teleparallel scalar Gauss-Bonnet

Scalar fields non-minimally coupled to Torsion

 In our previous paper¹, we studied Teleparallel theories with a scalar field, for example²:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M \left[-\mathcal{A}(\psi)T - \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\psi)B - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}(\psi)\partial_\mu\psi\partial^\mu\psi - 2\kappa^2\mathcal{V}(\psi) \right] \sqrt{-g} \,\mathrm{d}^4x \,,$$

where $X = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\psi\partial_{\nu}\psi$.

¹S. Bahamonde, L. Ducobu and C. Pfeifer, JCAP **04** (2022) no.04, 018

 ²S. Bahamonde and M. Wright, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) no.8, 084034; M. Zubair, S. Bahamonde and M. Jamil, Eur. Phys. J.
C 77 (2017) no.7, 472; M. Hohmann and C. Pfeifer, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) no.6, 064003.

Scalar fields non-minimally coupled to Torsion

 In our previous paper¹, we studied Teleparallel theories with a scalar field, for example²:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M \left[-\mathcal{A}(\psi)T - \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\psi)B - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}(\psi)\partial_\mu\psi\partial^\mu\psi - 2\kappa^2\mathcal{V}(\psi) \right] \sqrt{-g} \,\mathrm{d}^4x \,,$$

where
$$X = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\psi\partial_{\nu}\psi$$
.

• Since $\ddot{R} = -T + B$, when $\mathcal{A}(\psi) = -\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\psi)$ the above theory is exactly the same as the standard non-minimally one.

S. Bahamonde, L. Ducobu and C. Pfeifer, JCAP 04 (2022) no.04, 018

 ²S. Bahamonde and M. Wright, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) no.8, 084034; M. Zubair, S. Bahamonde and M. Jamil, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) no.7, 472; M. Hohmann and C. Pfeifer, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) no.6, 064003.

Scalar fields non-minimally coupled to Torsion

 In our previous paper¹, we studied Teleparallel theories with a scalar field, for example²:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M \left[-\mathcal{A}(\psi)T - \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\psi)B - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}(\psi)\partial_\mu\psi\partial^\mu\psi - 2\kappa^2\mathcal{V}(\psi) \right] \sqrt{-g} \,\mathrm{d}^4x \,,$$

where
$$X = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\psi\partial_{\nu}\psi$$
.

- Since $\ddot{R} = -T + B$, when $\mathcal{A}(\psi) = -\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\psi)$ the above theory is exactly the same as the standard non-minimally one.
- We found new exact black hole solutions (some of them different to the Riemannian case), but they seem to be not so much interesting phenomenologically.

¹S. Bahamonde, L. Ducobu and C. Pfeifer, JCAP **04** (2022) no.04, 018

²S. Bahamonde and M. Wright, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) no.8, 084034; M. Zubair, S. Bahamonde and M. Jamil, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) no.7, 472; M. Hohmann and C. Pfeifer, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) no.6, 064003.

• One can formulate a similar (and more general) theory than the Riemannian scalar Gauss-Bonnet theory but in the Teleparallel geometry.

- One can formulate a similar (and more general) theory than the Riemannian scalar Gauss-Bonnet theory but in the Teleparallel geometry.
- Since curvature is zero in TG, we arrive at

- One can formulate a similar (and more general) theory than the Riemannian scalar Gauss-Bonnet theory but in the Teleparallel geometry.
- Since curvature is zero in TG, we arrive at

Relationship Riemannian Gauss-Bonnet and Teleparallel Gauss-Bonnet invariants

 $\mathring{G} = T_G + B_G \,,$

- One can formulate a similar (and more general) theory than the Riemannian scalar Gauss-Bonnet theory but in the Teleparallel geometry.
- Since curvature is zero in TG, we arrive at

Relationship Riemannian Gauss-Bonnet and Teleparallel Gauss-Bonnet invariants

 $\mathring{G} = T_G + B_G \,,$

- One can formulate a similar (and more general) theory than the Riemannian scalar Gauss-Bonnet theory but in the Teleparallel geometry.
- Since curvature is zero in TG, we arrive at

Relationship Riemannian Gauss-Bonnet and Teleparallel Gauss-Bonnet invariants

$$\mathring{G} = T_G + B_G \,,$$

where

$$T_{G} = \delta^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} K^{\alpha}{}_{\chi\mu} K^{\chi\beta}{}_{\nu} K^{\gamma}{}_{\xi\sigma} K^{\xi\epsilon}{}_{\lambda} + 2\delta^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} K^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\mu} K^{\gamma}{}_{\chi\nu} K^{\chi\epsilon}{}_{\xi} K^{\xi}{}_{\sigma\lambda} + 2\delta^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} K^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\mu} K^{\gamma}{}_{\chi\nu} D_{\lambda} K^{\chi\epsilon}{}_{\sigma}, B_{G} = \frac{1}{e} \partial_{\mu} \Big[e \delta^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} K^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\nu} \Big(K^{\gamma}{}_{\xi\sigma} K^{\xi\epsilon}{}_{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2} \mathring{R}^{\gamma\epsilon}{}_{\sigma\lambda} \Big) \Big].$$

- One can formulate a similar (and more general) theory than the Riemannian scalar Gauss-Bonnet theory but in the Teleparallel geometry.
- Since curvature is zero in TG, we arrive at

Relationship Riemannian Gauss-Bonnet and Teleparallel Gauss-Bonnet invariants

$$\mathring{G} = T_G + B_G \,,$$

where

$$T_{G} = \delta^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} K^{\alpha}_{\ \ \chi\mu} K^{\chi\beta}_{\ \nu} K^{\gamma}_{\ \xi\sigma} K^{\xi\epsilon}_{\ \lambda} + 2\delta^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} K^{\alpha\beta}_{\ \mu} K^{\gamma}_{\ \chi\nu} K^{\chi\epsilon}_{\ \xi} K^{\xi}_{\ \sigma\lambda} + 2\delta^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} K^{\alpha\beta}_{\ \mu} K^{\gamma}_{\ \chi\nu} D_{\lambda} K^{\chi\epsilon}_{\ \sigma} ,$$

$$B_{G} = \frac{1}{e} \partial_{\mu} \Big[e \delta^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} K^{\alpha\beta}_{\ \nu} \Big(K^{\gamma}_{\ \xi\sigma} K^{\xi\epsilon}_{\ \lambda} - \frac{1}{2} \mathring{R}^{\gamma\epsilon}_{\ \sigma\lambda} \Big) \Big] .$$

Here, D_{λ} is the cov derivative of the general connection.

• Then, two Teleparallel Gauss-Bonnet invariants appear in the Teleparallel framework. T_G is a topological invariant in 4D and B_G is a boundary term (in all dimensions).

- Then, two Teleparallel Gauss-Bonnet invariants appear in the Teleparallel framework. T_G is a topological invariant in 4D and B_G is a boundary term (in all dimensions).
- That means that in Teleparallel gravity, there are more ways to construct a scalar Gauss-Bonnet theory. We then propose,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{TsGB}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[-T - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha_1 \mathcal{G}_1(\psi) T_G + \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi) B_G \right] e \,\mathrm{d}^4 x \,,$$

- Then, two Teleparallel Gauss-Bonnet invariants appear in the Teleparallel framework. T_G is a topological invariant in 4D and B_G is a boundary term (in all dimensions).
- That means that in Teleparallel gravity, there are more ways to construct a scalar Gauss-Bonnet theory. We then propose,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{TsGB}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[-T - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha_1 \mathcal{G}_1(\psi) T_G + \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi) B_G \right] e \,\mathrm{d}^4 x \,,$$

 This theory reproduces the Riemannian case in the limit G₁ = G₂ = G and α₁ = α₂ = α.

- Then, two Teleparallel Gauss-Bonnet invariants appear in the Teleparallel framework. T_G is a topological invariant in 4D and B_G is a boundary term (in all dimensions).
- That means that in Teleparallel gravity, there are more ways to construct a scalar Gauss-Bonnet theory. We then propose,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{TsGB}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[-T - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha_1 \mathcal{G}_1(\psi) T_G + \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi) B_G \right] e \,\mathrm{d}^4 x \,,$$

- This theory reproduces the Riemannian case in the limit G₁ = G₂ = G and α₁ = α₂ = α.
- For other coupling cases, the theory is different from the Riemannian case.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{TsGB}} &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[-T - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi)(\mathring{G} - T_G) + \alpha_1 \mathcal{G}_1(\psi) T_G \right] e \,\mathrm{d}^4 x \\ &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[\mathring{R} - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi) \mathring{G} + \alpha_3 \mathcal{G}_3(\psi) T_G \right] e \,\mathrm{d}^4 x \,, \end{split}$$

• It is convenient to re-parametrize the action such that one has the Riemannian case: (Note again $\mathring{G} = T_G + B_G$)

$$S_{\text{TsGB}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[-T - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi)(\mathring{G} - T_G) + \alpha_1 \mathcal{G}_1(\psi) T_G \right] e \,\mathrm{d}^4 x$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[\mathring{R} - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi) \mathring{G} + \alpha_3 \mathcal{G}_3(\psi) T_G \right] e \,\mathrm{d}^4 x \,,$$

• There are three important limiting cases appearing from the above action:

• It is convenient to re-parametrize the action such that one has the Riemannian case: (Note again $\mathring{G} = T_G + B_G$)

$$S_{\text{TsGB}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[-T - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi)(\mathring{G} - T_G) + \alpha_1 \mathcal{G}_1(\psi) T_G \right] e \,\mathrm{d}^4 x$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[\mathring{R} - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi) \mathring{G} + \alpha_3 \mathcal{G}_3(\psi) T_G \right] e \,\mathrm{d}^4 x \,,$$

• There are three important limiting cases appearing from the above action:

• $\alpha_3 = 0$ (or equivalently $\alpha_1 \mathcal{G}_1(\psi) = \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi)$): this theory corresponds to the standard sGB theory.

$$\begin{split} S_{\mathrm{TsGB}} &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[-T - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi)(\mathring{G} - T_G) + \alpha_1 \mathcal{G}_1(\psi) T_G \right] e \,\mathrm{d}^4 x \\ &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[\mathring{R} - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi) \mathring{G} + \alpha_3 \mathcal{G}_3(\psi) T_G \right] e \,\mathrm{d}^4 x \,, \end{split}$$

- There are three important limiting cases appearing from the above action:
 - $\alpha_3 = 0$ (or equivalently $\alpha_1 \mathcal{G}_1(\psi) = \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi)$): this theory corresponds to the standard sGB theory.
 - 2 $\alpha_2 = 0$: this theory corresponds to a purely Teleparallel theory where the dynamics are governed by $F(\psi)T_G$.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{TsGB}} &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[-T - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi)(\mathring{G} - T_G) + \alpha_1 \mathcal{G}_1(\psi) T_G \right] e \,\mathrm{d}^4 x \\ &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[\mathring{R} - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi) \mathring{G} + \alpha_3 \mathcal{G}_3(\psi) T_G \right] e \,\mathrm{d}^4 x \,, \end{split}$$

- There are three important limiting cases appearing from the above action:
 - $\alpha_3 = 0$ (or equivalently $\alpha_1 \mathcal{G}_1(\psi) = \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi)$): this theory corresponds to the standard sGB theory.
 - 2 $\alpha_2 = 0$: this theory corresponds to a purely Teleparallel theory where the dynamics are governed by $F(\psi)T_G$.
 - (a) $\alpha_3 \mathcal{G}_3(\psi) = -\alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi)$ (or equivalently $\alpha_1 = 0$): this theory also corresponds to a purely Teleparallel theory where the dynamics are governed by $F(\psi)B_G$.

$$S_{\text{TsGB}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[-T - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi)(\mathring{G} - T_G) + \alpha_1 \mathcal{G}_1(\psi) T_G \right] e \,\mathrm{d}^4 x$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \left[\mathring{R} - \frac{1}{2}\beta \,\partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi + \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi) \mathring{G} + \alpha_3 \mathcal{G}_3(\psi) T_G \right] e \,\mathrm{d}^4 x \,,$$

- There are three important limiting cases appearing from the above action:
 - $\alpha_3 = 0$ (or equivalently $\alpha_1 \mathcal{G}_1(\psi) = \alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi)$): this theory corresponds to the standard sGB theory.
 - 2 $\alpha_2 = 0$: this theory corresponds to a purely Teleparallel theory where the dynamics are governed by $F(\psi)T_G$.
 - So $\alpha_3 \mathcal{G}_3(\psi) = -\alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2(\psi)$ (or equivalently $\alpha_1 = 0$): this theory also corresponds to a purely Teleparallel theory where the dynamics are governed by $F(\psi)B_G$.
- The second and third cases $(\alpha_3 \neq 0)$ are new in the literature and they can only exist when one considers Teleparallel gravity.

 In TG, the dynamical variable is the tetrad field. The most general tetrad satisfying spherical symmetry in the Weitzenbock gauge is

$$e^{A}{}_{\nu} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} C_{1} & C_{2} & 0 & 0 \\ C_{3}\sin\theta\cos\phi & C_{4}\sin\theta\cos\phi & C_{5}\cos\theta\cos\phi - C_{6}\sin\phi & -\sin\theta(C_{5}\sin\phi + C_{6}\cos\phi) \\ C_{3}\sin\theta\sin\phi & C_{4}\sin\theta\sin\phi & C_{5}\cos\theta\sin\phi + C_{6}\cos\phi & \sin\theta(C_{5}\cos\phi - C_{6}\cos\theta\sin\phi) \\ C_{3}\cos\theta & C_{4}\cos\theta & -C_{5}\sin\theta & C_{6}\sin^{2}\theta \end{array} \right)$$

where $C_i = C_i(t, r)$ but hereafter, we will consider the stationary case $C_i = C_i(r)$.

 In TG, the dynamical variable is the tetrad field. The most general tetrad satisfying spherical symmetry in the Weitzenbock gauge is

$$e^{A}{}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{1} & C_{2} & 0 & 0 \\ C_{3}\sin\theta\cos\phi & C_{4}\sin\theta\cos\phi & C_{5}\cos\theta\cos\phi - C_{6}\sin\phi & -\sin\theta(C_{5}\sin\phi + C_{6}\cos\theta\cos\phi) \\ C_{3}\sin\theta\sin\phi & C_{4}\sin\theta\sin\phi & C_{5}\cos\theta\sin\phi + C_{6}\cos\phi & \sin\theta(C_{5}\cos\phi - C_{6}\cos\theta\sin\phi) \\ C_{3}\cos\theta & C_{4}\cos\theta & -C_{5}\sin\theta & C_{6}\sin^{2}\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

where $C_i = C_i(t, r)$ but hereafter, we will consider the stationary case $C_i = C_i(r)$.

• Using $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{AB} e^A{}_{\mu} e^B{}_{\nu}$, we have that the metric is

$$ds^{2} = (C_{1}^{2} - C_{3}^{2}) dt^{2} - 2(C_{3}C_{4} - C_{1}C_{2}) dt dr - (C_{4}^{2} - C_{2}^{2}) dr^{2} - (C_{5}^{2} + C_{6}^{2}) (d\theta^{2} + r^{2} \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}),$$

where we have cross-terms.

 Without losing generality, we can choose a coordinate system such that the cross term vanishes. This can be easily done by taking the following reparametrization:

$$\begin{split} C_1(r) &= \nu A(r) \cosh \beta(r) \,, \quad C_3(r) = \nu A(r) \sinh \beta(r) \,, \\ C_4(r) &= \xi B(r) \cosh \beta(r) \,, \quad C_2(r) = \xi B(r) \sinh \beta(r) \,, \\ C_5(r) &= \chi C(r) \cos \alpha(r) \,, \quad C_6(r) = \chi C(r) \sin \alpha(r) \,, \end{split}$$

with $\{\nu, \xi, \chi\}$ being ± 1 . This tetrad gives the metric in the standard form in spherical coordinates:

$$ds^{2} = A(r)^{2} dt^{2} - B(r)^{2} dr^{2} - C(r)^{2} (d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2} \theta d\phi^{2}).$$

 Without losing generality, we can choose a coordinate system such that the cross term vanishes. This can be easily done by taking the following reparametrization:

$$\begin{split} C_1(r) &= \nu A(r) \cosh \beta(r) \,, \quad C_3(r) = \nu A(r) \sinh \beta(r) \,, \\ C_4(r) &= \xi B(r) \cosh \beta(r) \,, \quad C_2(r) = \xi B(r) \sinh \beta(r) \,, \\ C_5(r) &= \chi C(r) \cos \alpha(r) \,, \quad C_6(r) = \chi C(r) \sin \alpha(r) \,, \end{split}$$

with $\{\nu, \xi, \chi\}$ being ± 1 . This tetrad gives the metric in the standard form in spherical coordinates:

$$ds^{2} = A(r)^{2} dt^{2} - B(r)^{2} dr^{2} - C(r)^{2} (d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}).$$

• Note that $\beta(r), \alpha(r)$ are tetrad dof (they do not appear in the metric). They can be set by solving the antisymmetric field equations.

• The field equation contains antisymmetric and symmetric part. There are two different ways to solve the antisymmetric field equations.

- The field equation contains antisymmetric and symmetric part. There are two different ways to solve the antisymmetric field equations.
- Tetrad contains more dof than the metric (two different tetrads can give rise the same metric Lorentz dof).

- The field equation contains antisymmetric and symmetric part. There are two different ways to solve the antisymmetric field equations.
- Tetrad contains more dof than the metric (two different tetrads can give rise the same metric Lorentz dof).
- The first branch which solves the antisymmetric equations is $\beta(r) = i\pi n_1, \alpha(r) = \pi n_2$ which gives

$$e^{(1)a}{}_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi B \sin \theta \cos \phi & \chi C \cos \theta \cos \phi & -\chi C \sin \theta \sin \phi \\ 0 & \xi B \sin \theta \sin \phi & \chi C \cos \theta \sin \phi & \chi C \sin \theta \cos \phi \\ 0 & \xi B \cos \theta & -\chi C \sin \theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \{\nu, \xi, \chi\} = \pm 1.$$

- The field equation contains antisymmetric and symmetric part. There are two different ways to solve the antisymmetric field equations.
- Tetrad contains more dof than the metric (two different tetrads can give rise the same metric Lorentz dof).
- The first branch which solves the antisymmetric equations is $\beta(r) = i\pi n_1, \alpha(r) = \pi n_2$ which gives

$$e^{(1)a}{}_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu A & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \xi B \sin\theta \cos\phi & \chi C \cos\theta \cos\phi & -\chi C \sin\theta \sin\phi\\ 0 & \xi B \sin\theta \sin\phi & \chi C \cos\theta \sin\phi & \chi C \sin\theta \cos\phi\\ 0 & \xi B \cos\theta & -\chi C \sin\theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \{\nu, \xi, \chi\} = \pm 1.$$

• The second branch branch which solves the antisymmetric equations is $\beta(r) = \frac{i\pi}{2} + i\pi n_3$, $\alpha(r) = \frac{\pi}{2} + \pi$ which gives

$$e^{(2)a}{}_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\xi B & 0 & 0 \\ i\nu A\sin\theta\cos\phi & 0 & -\chi C\sin\phi & -\chi C\sin\theta\cos\phi \\ i\nu A\sin\theta\sin\phi & 0 & \chi C\cos\phi & -\chi C\sin\theta\cos\theta\sin\phi \\ i\nu A\cos\theta & 0 & 0 & \chi C\sin^2\theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \{\nu,\xi,\chi\} = \pm 1.$$

• Those two tetrads give the same metric and they have different symmetric field equations. Then, they can describe different physics.
- Those two tetrads give the same metric and they have different symmetric field equations. Then, they can describe different physics.
- Which tetrad is the correct one? Either of them, maybe? Predictions/Observations can reply this.

- Those two tetrads give the same metric and they have different symmetric field equations. Then, they can describe different physics.
- Which tetrad is the correct one? Either of them, maybe? Predictions/Observations can reply this.
- One example of this would be in GR in axial symmetry. Kerr is not the unique axial solution. We also for example have the so-called Taub-NUT solution which is axially symmetric but it is not asymptotically flat so that, it cannot describe realistic astrophysical black hole configurations.

- Those two tetrads give the same metric and they have different symmetric field equations. Then, they can describe different physics.
- Which tetrad is the correct one? Either of them, maybe? Predictions/Observations can reply this.
- One example of this would be in GR in axial symmetry. Kerr is not the unique axial solution. We also for example have the so-called Taub-NUT solution which is axially symmetric but it is not asymptotically flat so that, it cannot describe realistic astrophysical black hole configurations.
- In our paper, we focused on the complex tetrad $e^{(2)a}{}_{\mu}$ since in previous papers, we have found exact BH solutions (such in f(T) Born-Infeld gravity).

• We can follow a similar computation as in the sGB case to arrive at

$$\frac{d^2 u}{dr_*^2} + [\omega^2 - U(r)]u = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{G}'_i(\psi_0) = 0,$$

and now the potential is more general:

$$U(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \left[\frac{2M}{r^3} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{32M}{r^5\beta}\alpha_3\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_3(\psi_0) + \frac{48M^2}{r^6\beta}(\alpha_3\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_3(\psi_0) + \alpha_2\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_2(\psi_0))\right].$$

We can follow a similar computation as in the sGB case to arrive at

$$\frac{d^2 u}{dr_*^2} + [\omega^2 - U(r)]u = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{G}'_i(\psi_0) = 0,$$

and now the potential is more general:

$$U(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \left[\frac{2M}{r^3} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{32M}{r^5\beta}\alpha_3\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_3(\psi_0) + \frac{48M^2}{r^6\beta}(\alpha_3\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_3(\psi_0) + \alpha_2\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_2(\psi_0))\right].$$

The theory gives us the possibility to have such an unstable mode if

$$\frac{-4\alpha_3\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_3(\psi_0) + 6\alpha_2\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_2(\psi_0) + 5\beta M^2}{20\beta M^3} < 0\,.$$

We can follow a similar computation as in the sGB case to arrive at

$$\frac{d^2 u}{dr_*^2} + [\omega^2 - U(r)]u = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{G}'_i(\psi_0) = 0,$$

and now the potential is more general:

$$U(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \left[\frac{2M}{r^3} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{32M}{r^5\beta}\alpha_3\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_3(\psi_0) + \frac{48M^2}{r^6\beta}(\alpha_3\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_3(\psi_0) + \alpha_2\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_2(\psi_0))\right].$$

The theory gives us the possibility to have such an unstable mode if

$$\frac{-4\alpha_3\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_3(\psi_0) + 6\alpha_2\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_2(\psi_0) + 5\beta M^2}{20\beta M^3} < 0\,.$$

• For our theory, spontaneous scalarization can occur in our theory for a much larger choice of parameters for different masses.

We can follow a similar computation as in the sGB case to arrive at

$$\frac{d^2 u}{dr_*^2} + [\omega^2 - U(r)]u = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{G}'_i(\psi_0) = 0,$$

and now the potential is more general:

$$U(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \left[\frac{2M}{r^3} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{32M}{r^5\beta}\alpha_3\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_3(\psi_0) + \frac{48M^2}{r^6\beta}(\alpha_3\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_3(\psi_0) + \alpha_2\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_2(\psi_0))\right].$$

The theory gives us the possibility to have such an unstable mode if

$$\frac{-4\alpha_3\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_3(\psi_0) + 6\alpha_2\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_2(\psi_0) + 5\beta M^2}{20\beta M^3} < 0\,.$$

- For our theory, spontaneous scalarization can occur in our theory for a much larger choice of parameters for different masses.
- Non-rotating black holes was possible only for $\alpha_2 < 0$ in sGB.

 We can find analytical perturbed solutions around Schwarzschild that can be obtained by taking

$$\begin{aligned} A(r)^2 &= 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \epsilon a_1(r) + \epsilon^2 a_2(r) \,, \\ B(r)^{-2} &= 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \epsilon b_1(r) + \epsilon^2 b_2(r) \,, \\ \alpha_i \mathcal{G}_i(\psi) &= \epsilon \alpha_i \mathcal{G}_i(\psi_\infty) + \frac{\epsilon \alpha_i}{M^2} \mathcal{G}'_i(\psi_\infty)(\psi - \psi_\infty) \\ &+ \frac{\epsilon \alpha_i}{2M^4} \mathcal{G}''_i(\psi_\infty)(\psi - \psi_\infty)^2 \,, \\ \psi(r) &= \psi_\infty + \epsilon \psi_1(r) + \epsilon^2 \psi_2(r) \,, \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon \ll 1$ is a small tracking parameter.

 We can find analytical perturbed solutions around Schwarzschild that can be obtained by taking

$$\begin{aligned} A(r)^2 &= 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \epsilon a_1(r) + \epsilon^2 a_2(r) \,, \\ B(r)^{-2} &= 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \epsilon b_1(r) + \epsilon^2 b_2(r) \,, \\ \alpha_i \mathcal{G}_i(\psi) &= \epsilon \alpha_i \mathcal{G}_i(\psi_\infty) + \frac{\epsilon \alpha_i}{M^2} \mathcal{G}'_i(\psi_\infty)(\psi - \psi_\infty) \\ &+ \frac{\epsilon \alpha_i}{2M^4} \mathcal{G}''_i(\psi_\infty)(\psi - \psi_\infty)^2 \,, \\ \psi(r) &= \psi_\infty + \epsilon \psi_1(r) + \epsilon^2 \psi_2(r) \,, \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon \ll 1$ is a small tracking parameter.

 We found a solution of the theory perturbatly which represents a scalar-hair-endowed Schwarzschild black hole which is a generalization of the well-known sGB solution presented in previous Riemannian papers.

• To show the existence of BH (in a non-perturvative way) we need to use numerical techniques.

- To show the existence of BH (in a non-perturvative way) we need to use numerical techniques.
- To solve the equations numerically we need boundary conditions and they can be found by expanding the equations at infinity and near the horizon.

- To show the existence of BH (in a non-perturvative way) we need to use numerical techniques.
- To solve the equations numerically we need boundary conditions and they can be found by expanding the equations at infinity and near the horizon.
- Let us now take expansions near the horizon r_H as

$$A(r)^{2} = a_{1}(r - r_{H}) + a_{2}(r - r_{H})^{2} + \dots,$$

$$B(r)^{-2} = b_{1}(r - r_{H}) + b_{2}(r - r_{H})^{2} + \dots,$$

$$\psi(r) = \psi_{H} + \psi'_{H}(r - r_{H}) + \psi''_{H}(r - r_{H})^{2} + \dots.$$

By assuming these types of expansions, we ensure the fact that $det(g_{\mu\nu})$ is finite at the horizon as long as b_1 is non-vanishing.

- To show the existence of BH (in a non-perturvative way) we need to use numerical techniques.
- To solve the equations numerically we need boundary conditions and they can be found by expanding the equations at infinity and near the horizon.
- Let us now take expansions near the horizon r_H as

$$A(r)^{2} = a_{1}(r - r_{H}) + a_{2}(r - r_{H})^{2} + \dots,$$

$$B(r)^{-2} = b_{1}(r - r_{H}) + b_{2}(r - r_{H})^{2} + \dots,$$

$$\psi(r) = \psi_{H} + \psi'_{H}(r - r_{H}) + \psi''_{H}(r - r_{H})^{2} + \dots.$$

By assuming these types of expansions, we ensure the fact that $det(g_{\mu\nu})$ is finite at the horizon as long as b_1 is non-vanishing.

• One can easily solve the system near the horizon and find two branches of coupling.

- To show the existence of BH (in a non-perturvative way) we need to use numerical techniques.
- To solve the equations numerically we need boundary conditions and they can be found by expanding the equations at infinity and near the horizon.
- Let us now take expansions near the horizon r_H as

$$A(r)^{2} = a_{1}(r - r_{H}) + a_{2}(r - r_{H})^{2} + \dots,$$

$$B(r)^{-2} = b_{1}(r - r_{H}) + b_{2}(r - r_{H})^{2} + \dots,$$

$$\psi(r) = \psi_{H} + \psi'_{H}(r - r_{H}) + \psi''_{H}(r - r_{H})^{2} + \dots.$$

By assuming these types of expansions, we ensure the fact that $det(g_{\mu\nu})$ is finite at the horizon as long as b_1 is non-vanishing.

- One can easily solve the system near the horizon and find two branches of coupling.
- When $\alpha_3 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_3 = \alpha_2 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_2$, the scalar field must satisfy

$$\psi_H' = \frac{8\alpha_2 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_2(\psi_H)}{\beta r_H^3}$$

• When $\alpha_3 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_3 \neq \alpha_2 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_2$, the scalar field at the horizon must satisfy

$$\psi'_{H} = \frac{r_{H}}{4(\alpha_{2}\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{2} - \alpha_{3}\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{3})} \left(1 \pm \frac{1}{\beta} \left[\beta^{2} + \frac{32(\alpha_{3}\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{3} - \alpha_{2}\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{2})}{r_{H}^{8}} \left\{32\alpha_{3}^{2}\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{3}^{2}(\alpha_{3}\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{3} - \alpha_{2}\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{2})\right. \\ \left. + \beta r_{H}^{4}(3\alpha_{2}\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{2} + \alpha_{3}\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{3})\right\} \right]^{1/2} - \frac{8\alpha_{3}\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{3}}{\beta r_{H}^{3}} .$$

• When $\alpha_3 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_3 \neq \alpha_2 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_2$, the scalar field at the horizon must satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'_H &= \frac{r_H}{4(\alpha_2 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_2 - \alpha_3 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_3)} \left(1 \pm \frac{1}{\beta} \left[\beta^2 + \frac{32(\alpha_3 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_3 - \alpha_2 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_2)}{r_H^8} \left\{ 32\alpha_3^2 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_3^2(\alpha_3 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_3 - \alpha_2 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_2) \right. \right. \\ \left. + \beta r_H^4(3\alpha_2 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_2 + \alpha_3 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_3) \right\} \right]^{1/2} \right) - \frac{8\alpha_3 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_3}{\beta r_H^3} \,. \end{aligned}$$

• This branch gives the correct condition for the Riemannian sGB case $(\alpha_3 = 0)$ that has been used widely in the literature to solving the equations numerically:

$$\psi'_{H} = \frac{1}{4\alpha_{2}r_{H}\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{2}} \Big[r_{H}^{2} \pm \sqrt{r_{H}^{4} - \frac{96\alpha_{2}^{2}\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{2}^{2}}{\beta}} \Big]$$

• When $\alpha_3 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_3 \neq \alpha_2 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_2$, the scalar field at the horizon must satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'_H &= \frac{r_H}{4(\alpha_2 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_2 - \alpha_3 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_3)} \left(1 \pm \frac{1}{\beta} \left[\beta^2 + \frac{32(\alpha_3 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_3 - \alpha_2 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_2)}{r_H^8} \left\{ 32\alpha_3^2 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_3^2(\alpha_3 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_3 - \alpha_2 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_2) \right. \right. \\ \left. + \beta r_H^4(3\alpha_2 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_2 + \alpha_3 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_3) \right\} \right]^{1/2} \right) - \frac{8\alpha_3 \dot{\mathcal{G}}_3}{\beta r_H^3} \,. \end{aligned}$$

• This branch gives the correct condition for the Riemannian sGB case $(\alpha_3 = 0)$ that has been used widely in the literature to solving the equations numerically:

$$\psi'_{H} = \frac{1}{4\alpha_{2}r_{H}\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{2}} \left[r_{H}^{2} \pm \sqrt{r_{H}^{4} - \frac{96\alpha_{2}^{2}\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{2}^{2}}{\beta}} \right].$$

 This analysis suggests that there are two different branches in Teleparallel sGB having asymptotically flat scalarized black hole configurations. By Taking β = 4 (kinetic constant), and setting the background value of the scalar field to zero, we have two coupling constants α₂ and α₃ and two coupling functions G₂ and G₃.

- By Taking β = 4 (kinetic constant), and setting the background value of the scalar field to zero, we have two coupling constants α₂ and α₃ and two coupling functions G₂ and G₃.
- We have decided to fix the two coupling functions in the following form

$$\mathcal{G}_2(\psi) = \frac{1}{12} \left(1 - e^{-6\psi^2} \right) = \mathcal{G}_3(\psi) \,.$$

This exponential function has one of the desired properties for scalarization, namely, it allows the GR solutions with a zero scalar field to be also solutions of the more general system of equations in Teleparallel gravity.

- By Taking β = 4 (kinetic constant), and setting the background value of the scalar field to zero, we have two coupling constants α₂ and α₃ and two coupling functions G₂ and G₃.
- We have decided to fix the two coupling functions in the following form

$$\mathcal{G}_2(\psi) = \frac{1}{12} \left(1 - e^{-6\psi^2} \right) = \mathcal{G}_3(\psi) \,.$$

This exponential function has one of the desired properties for scalarization, namely, it allows the GR solutions with a zero scalar field to be also solutions of the more general system of equations in Teleparallel gravity.

• For this coupling, it was proven in D. D. Doneva and S. S. Yazadjiev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120** (2018) no.13, 131103 that stable scalarized black hole solutions exist in the sGB case. • Having fixed \mathcal{G}_2 and \mathcal{G}_3 , the only theory parameters left to vary are α_2 and α_3 and more precisely, their relative weight. The following two cases are especially interesting, since they are purely Teleparallel, i.e. *the scalarization is triggered by torsion*:

³D. D. Doneva, F. M. Ramazanoğlu, H. O. Silva, T. P. Sotiriou and S. S. Yazadjiev, [arXiv:2211.01766 [gr-qc]].

- Having fixed \mathcal{G}_2 and \mathcal{G}_3 , the only theory parameters left to vary are α_2 and α_3 and more precisely, their relative weight. The following two cases are especially interesting, since they are purely Teleparallel, i.e. *the scalarization is triggered by torsion*:
 - $\alpha_2 = 0$ while $\alpha_3 \neq 0$, the modification of GR comes only from the Teleparallel topological invariant T_G ;

³D. D. Doneva, F. M. Ramazanoğlu, H. O. Silva, T. P. Sotiriou and S. S. Yazadjiev, [arXiv:2211.01766 [gr-qc]].

- Having fixed \mathcal{G}_2 and \mathcal{G}_3 , the only theory parameters left to vary are α_2 and α_3 and more precisely, their relative weight. The following two cases are especially interesting, since they are purely Teleparallel, i.e. *the scalarization is triggered by torsion*:
 - $\alpha_2 = 0$ while $\alpha_3 \neq 0$, the modification of GR comes only from the Teleparallel topological invariant T_G ;
 - 2 $\alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathcal{G}_3 = 0$, the modification of GR comes only from the additional Teleparallel boundary B_G .

³D. D. Doneva, F. M. Ramazanoğlu, H. O. Silva, T. P. Sotiriou and S. S. Yazadjiev, [arXiv:2211.01766 [gr-qc]].

- Having fixed \mathcal{G}_2 and \mathcal{G}_3 , the only theory parameters left to vary are α_2 and α_3 and more precisely, their relative weight. The following two cases are especially interesting, since they are purely Teleparallel, i.e. *the scalarization is triggered by torsion*:
 - $\alpha_2 = 0$ while $\alpha_3 \neq 0$, the modification of GR comes only from the Teleparallel topological invariant T_G ;
 - 2 $\alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathcal{G}_3 = 0$, the modification of GR comes only from the additional Teleparallel boundary B_G .

³D. D. Doneva, F. M. Ramazanoğlu, H. O. Silva, T. P. Sotiriou and S. S. Yazadjiev, [arXiv:2211.01766 [gr-qc]].

- Having fixed \mathcal{G}_2 and \mathcal{G}_3 , the only theory parameters left to vary are α_2 and α_3 and more precisely, their relative weight. The following two cases are especially interesting, since they are purely Teleparallel, i.e. *the scalarization is triggered by torsion*:
 - $\alpha_2 = 0$ while $\alpha_3 \neq 0$, the modification of GR comes only from the Teleparallel topological invariant T_G ;
 - 2 $\alpha_2 \mathcal{G}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathcal{G}_3 = 0$, the modification of GR comes only from the additional Teleparallel boundary B_G .

In either of these two limiting cases, no contribution of the Riemannian Gauss-Bonnet term is present.

 These cases go beyond the classification of theories allowing for scalarization that is discussed in a recent Review³

³D. D. Doneva, F. M. Ramazanoğlu, H. O. Silva, T. P. Sotiriou and S. S. Yazadjiev, [arXiv:2211.01766 [gr-qc]].

 To solve the equations numerically we used a fourth order Runge-Kutta method.

- To solve the equations numerically we used a fourth order Runge-Kutta method.
- We used the boundary condition derived before (at the horizon) and also we only concentrated on finding asymptotically flat solutions.

- To solve the equations numerically we used a fourth order Runge-Kutta method.
- We used the boundary condition derived before (at the horizon) and also we only concentrated on finding asymptotically flat solutions.
- First, In order to gain some intuition about the existence and behavior of black hole solutions let us start with discussing the bifurcation point, which corresponds to the point where Schwarzschild becomes unstable and new scalarized solutions originate, as well as the behavior of the scalarized black hole branches.



Figure: Setting $\alpha_2 = -1$ (the Riemannian sGB) and varying α_3

• With the increase of α_3 the point of bifurcation from the GR branch moves to large masses.



Figure: Setting $\alpha_2 = -1$ (the Riemannian sGB) and varying α_3

- With the increase of α_3 the point of bifurcation from the GR branch moves to large masses.
- For larger α₃, the branch of scalarized solutions disappears at smaller masses.



Figure: Setting $\alpha_3 = 1$ (the Teleparallel part) and varying α_2

 Contrary to the previous figure, larger α₂ move the bifurcation point to smaller masses



Figure: Setting $\alpha_3 = 1$ (the Teleparallel part) and varying α_2

- Contrary to the previous figure, larger α₂ move the bifurcation point to smaller masses
- Even though this case offers a completely new type of scalarization, the behaviour of the solutions branches is qualitatively very similar to the sGB theory





Conclusions and final remarks

 Going beyond the no-hair theorem is not easy, and up to now, one of best models describing asymptotically flat scalarized BH solution is obtained in the sGB gravity.

Conclusions and final remarks

- Going beyond the no-hair theorem is not easy, and up to now, one of best models describing asymptotically flat scalarized BH solution is obtained in the sGB gravity.
- Spontaneous scalarization mechanism gives the opportunity to have the same predictions as GR in the weak field regime and the scalar charges emerges in the strong gravity regime.
- Going beyond the no-hair theorem is not easy, and up to now, one of best models describing asymptotically flat scalarized BH solution is obtained in the sGB gravity.
- Spontaneous scalarization mechanism gives the opportunity to have the same predictions as GR in the weak field regime and the scalar charges emerges in the strong gravity regime.
- We constructed a new Teleparallel sGB model and construct the first asymptotically flat BH scalarized solutions with spontaneous scalarization.

- Going beyond the no-hair theorem is not easy, and up to now, one of best models describing asymptotically flat scalarized BH solution is obtained in the sGB gravity.
- Spontaneous scalarization mechanism gives the opportunity to have the same predictions as GR in the weak field regime and the scalar charges emerges in the strong gravity regime.
- We constructed a new Teleparallel sGB model and construct the first asymptotically flat BH scalarized solutions with spontaneous scalarization.
- Interestingly, our theory contains the sGB but there are more going on here.

- Going beyond the no-hair theorem is not easy, and up to now, one of best models describing asymptotically flat scalarized BH solution is obtained in the sGB gravity.
- Spontaneous scalarization mechanism gives the opportunity to have the same predictions as GR in the weak field regime and the scalar charges emerges in the strong gravity regime.
- We constructed a new Teleparallel sGB model and construct the first asymptotically flat BH scalarized solutions with spontaneous scalarization.
- Interestingly, our theory contains the sGB but there are more going on here.
- In our theory, it is possible to have non-monotonic behavior of the scalar field close to the horizon even for the fundamental nodeless scalar field branch of the black hole. Until now similar non-monotonicity in sGB gravity was observed only for rotating black holes.

• Even though we restricted ourselves to static spherically symmetric solutions, the black holes share a lot of similarities not only with the static sGB case but also with the rotating sGB black holes.

- Even though we restricted ourselves to static spherically symmetric solutions, the black holes share a lot of similarities not only with the static sGB case but also with the rotating sGB black holes.
- On the other hand, all sectors of the Teleparallel gravity we considered are well-behaved numerically.

- Even though we restricted ourselves to static spherically symmetric solutions, the black holes share a lot of similarities not only with the static sGB case but also with the rotating sGB black holes.
- On the other hand, all sectors of the Teleparallel gravity we considered are well-behaved numerically.
- Most interestingly, we found that already coupling one of the two Teleparallel contributions T_G and B_G, which form the Riemannian Riemannian Gauss-Bonnet term G[°], suffices to trigger scalarization.

- Even though we restricted ourselves to static spherically symmetric solutions, the black holes share a lot of similarities not only with the static sGB case but also with the rotating sGB black holes.
- On the other hand, all sectors of the Teleparallel gravity we considered are well-behaved numerically.
- Most interestingly, we found that already coupling one of the two Teleparallel contributions T_G and B_G, which form the Riemannian Riemannian Gauss-Bonnet term G[°], suffices to trigger scalarization.
- This observation leads to the question if torsion (or other properties of non-Riemannian geometry) might fundamentally be the origin of the scalarization properties.

- Even though we restricted ourselves to static spherically symmetric solutions, the black holes share a lot of similarities not only with the static sGB case but also with the rotating sGB black holes.
- On the other hand, all sectors of the Teleparallel gravity we considered are well-behaved numerically.
- Most interestingly, we found that already coupling one of the two Teleparallel contributions T_G and B_G, which form the Riemannian Riemannian Gauss-Bonnet term G[°], suffices to trigger scalarization.
- This observation leads to the question if torsion (or other properties of non-Riemannian geometry) might fundamentally be the origin of the scalarization properties.
- Our study can open a new unexplored window in the study of scalarized black hole solutions in non-Riemannian theories of gravity